Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov).

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Ferrary/Lujan/Sedillo Lopez/Chasey/Pope	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	1/28/22 HJR	2
SHORT TITI	LE Environmental Rig	ghts, CA	SB	
			ANALYST	J. Torres

## ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY22	FY23	FY24	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		See Fiscal Implications				

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates SJR2 Environmental Rights, CA

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG)

New Mexico Environment Department (NMED)

Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department (EMNRD)

#### **SUMMARY**

### Synopsis of Bill

The Environmental Rights Constitutional Amendment (HJR2) adds a new provision to the New Mexico Constitution Article 2, Bill of Rights, which provides that the "people of the state have an inherent and inalienable right to a clean and healthy environment, including [all aspects of the environment] and to the protection of the natural, cultural, scenic, and healthful qualities of the environment."

HJR2 repeals the existing Pollution Control provision of New Mexico Constitution Article 20, Section 21. This eliminates the current provision delegating control of air, water, and other natural resources (pollution control) to the Legislature.

The new section is explicitly self-executing, meaning that it does not require legislative implementation and enforcement. Nor does it allow for money damages.

If approved by the Legislature, New Mexico Constitution Article 19, Section 1, requires that the contemplated amendment be published by the Secretary of State (SoS), as noted in Fiscal

## **House Joint Resolution 2 – Page 2**

Implications, and be ratified by a voting majority either at the next regular election held after that Legislature's adjournment or at a special election held at least six months after adjournment.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The SOS supports and understands the Legislature's prerogative to place constitutional amendments before the voters of the state. For the purposes of determining the costs involved, the SOS wishes to inform legislators that, under Section 1-16-4 NMSA 1978, the SOS is required to print the full text of each proposed constitutional amendment, in both Spanish and English. The cost of producing the voter guide will change depending upon the number and length of the constitutional amendments passed and the number of registered voters but can be estimated at \$25 thousand.

The SOS is also constitutionally required to publish the full text of each proposed constitutional amendment once a week for four weeks preceding the election in one newspaper in every county in the state. In 2020, the SOS spent \$351,015 for the required newspaper publications, however, the cost is dependent upon the number and length of the constitutional amendments that are passed. For planning purposes, an estimate of \$20.72 per word may be used to represent the costs realized in the 2020 general election to estimate the cost of publishing each constitutional amendment.

The number of constitutional amendments that pass may also impact the page size of the ballot, or if the ballot size is caused to become greater than one page, front and back, which may increase the cost of producing the ballots for the general election. In addition to the cost of the ballot, there may be time added to the voting process, which would require additional ballot printing systems in order to ensure a smooth and efficient voting process within the bounds of national best practices. The additional requirement that the SOS publish a certificate for every law that is repealed by the counties will be an added cost to the SOS, although it is difficult to estimate the cost at this time.

NMED noted that "HJR2 may require significant resources to resolve legal uncertainty in its language."

EMNRD noted the resources required to defend litigation arising from the confusion and conflict resulting from the enactment of HJR2.

#### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

HJR2 prioritizes the protection of environmental and other natural, cultural, scenic, and healthful qualities by including this provision in the state's bill of rights. Although the state constitution currently provides for pollution control, it is deemed to be "of fundamental importance to the public interest...", rather than a fundamental right.

NMAG noted that the repeal of New Mexico Constitution Article 20, Section 21 without a replacement delegating pollution control authority to the Legislature could preclude future environmental acts or amendments to existing statutes such as the Environmental Improvement Act, Air Quality Control Act, Hazardous Waste Act, and others. This repeal could also result in legal challenges due to a lack of constitutional authority for existing legislation. The repeal would likely revert pollution control protection to the common law and the courts under the holding in Sanders-Reed v. Martinez, 2015-NMCA-063. The self-executing nature of the proposed

# **House Joint Resolution 2 – Page 3**

amendment could lead to an absence of legislation, citing *Bounds v. State*, N.M. Ct. App. 2010, 149 N.M. 484, 495 [*citation omitted*]; a private cause of action; no legislative definitions of key terms; and more judicial uncertainty. NMAG also noted that Subsection C could create a conflict between overlapping trustee responsibilities of the state and its political subdivisions as to existing legislation and regulation of natural resources.

NMED noted that the repeal of New Mexico Constitution Article 20, Section 21 would remove legislative power over pollution control. NMED also noted that the inclusion of environmental rights within the constitution would create a trusteeship within each political subdivision of the state, thereby causing confusion between the new constitutional provisions and existing environmental laws.

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

NMAG reported that by making the state a trustee of its natural resources, HJR2 could impose additional NMAG legal representation requirements regarding the state and its agencies.

NMED indicated that the need to expend significant legal resources to resolve the confusion and conflict created by HJR2 would require additional monetary appropriations.

## CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Duplicates SJR2 Environmental Rights, CA.

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

As noted by NMAG, New Mexico Constitution Article 20, Section 21 could be amended to include legislative authority for the new section, rather than repealing the existing section.

EMNRD recommends that New Mexico adopt a provision similar to the Montana Constitution which ensures the right of a "clean and healthful environment", while leaving administration and enforcement to the state legislature, rather than making it self-executing.

JT/rl